

## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

of the creditor, it seems hardly advisable to put the sharp limitation which is advocated on the control of the legislature over the municipal corporation which it has created.

THE METAPHYSICAL NATURE OF A CORPORATION IN THE EYE OF THE LAW. — From the point of view of the man in the street a corporation is obviously a fiction. But this does not settle whether it is a fiction or a reality in the eye of the law. In a recent English article Mr. E. Hilton Young has given an interesting discussion of the question, in which he contends that while in theory a corporation exists only in the contemplation of the law which creates it, in practice it has become a real legal being apart therefrom. The Legal Personality of a Foreign Corporation, 22 L. Quar. Rev. 178 (April, 1906). His argument is, in brief, that a foreign corporation in theory does not exist outside of the sovereignty which creates it. Yet the courts of other sovereignties permit it to sue as plaintiff, and obtain personal jurisdiction of it as defendant. A court cannot obtain personal jurisdiction of that which in the eye of the law does not exist. Consequently, whatever the theory may be, for practical purposes a foreign corporation is a real legal being.

While the American authorities are in confusion, the general result seems to be a similar conflict between theory and practice. Indeed the seeds of it are found in Chief Justice Marshall's definition of a corporation as "an artificial being . . . existing only in contemplation of law." See Dartmouth College v. Woodward, 4 Wheat. (U. S.) 518, 636. This definition has been approved by the weight of American authority. But metaphysically it seems to involve a contradiction. A being ex hypothesi exists. A "being" which at the same time exists and does not exist is certainly a peculiar metaphysical concept. Yet this is precisely the result reached by the cases. In theory a corporation in the jurisdiction which creates it is essentially a legal entity, apart from the individual stockholders composing it. The word "person" in a statute has been held sufficiently to describe it. See *People* v. *Utica Ins. Co.*, 15 Johns. (N. Y.) 358. For purposes of federal jurisdiction it has been held to be a "citizen" of the state which creates it. See Nashua & Lowell R. R. Corp. v. Boston & Lowell R. R. Corp., 136 U. S. 356. And yet courts of equity may rarely, where justice requires it, look behind the legal entity to the individual stockholders. See Moore & Handley Hardware Co. v. Tower Hardware Co., 87 A corporation, then, is generally regarded as existing in the state which creates it.

But it is equally well settled that since a corporation exists only in contemplation of the law it has no legal existence outside the state where that law operates. See Augusta Bank v. Earle, 13 Pet. (U. S.) 519, 588. Nevertheless, under the proper circumstances it may contract in another state by means of agents, and the courts thereof may by comity treat it as existent and permit it to sue on the contract. See Augusta Bank v. Earle, supra. But a foreign corporation may equally commit a tort or a nuisance. See Austin v. N. Y. & E. R. R., 25 N. J. Law 381; Seattle Gas & Electric Co. v. Citizens L. & P. Co, 123 Fed. Rep. 588. Comity, however, cannot give jurisdiction of a nonexistent corporation when those aggrieved desire to serve it. Consequently, in the absence of statute, it is impossible to bring action in personam against a foreign corporation. See Middlebrooks v. Springfield Ins. Co., 14 Conn. 301. In the absence of statute, therefore, it can act, but cannot be brought to account. But a corporation, though a "citizen" of its own state for purposes of jurisdiction, is not a "citizen" within the meaning of article 4, § 2 of the United States Constitution, which declares that "the citizens of each state shall be entitled to all the privileges and immunities of citizens in the several states." See Ducat v. City of Chicago, 48 Ill. 172. Consequently a state may lay down the conditions under which a foreign corporation may do business therein. See Paul v. Virginia, 8 Wall. (U. S.) 168. Most of our states have therefore passed statutes compelling foreign corporations which do business within the state to

submit to the jurisdiction of the courts. See 6 Thomp., Corp., § 7931. total result apparently is that a corporation exists within its own state in theory, but not always in practice; while in a foreign state it does not exist in theory, although in practice it is often treated as if it did. Metaphysically, therefore, it seems very like a non-existent being.

ABUSED PRIVILEGE, AN. W. A. Purrington. Maintaining that the privilege accorded

a physician of not testifying is greatly abused. 6 Columbia L. Rev. 388.

ASPECTS OF FORGED TRANSFERS OF STOCK, SOME. Lee M. Friedman. Disagreeing with a recent decision of the House of Lords, Sheffield Corporation v. Barclay, [1905] A. C. 392. 40 Am. L. Rev. 496.
Basis of Case Law. I. A. H. F. Lefroy. Treating of the basis of case law when

there is no previously established legal principle to govern the case. 22 L. Quar.

CALVO AND THE "CALVO DOCTRINE." Percy Bordwell. Non-payment of public debts as warrant for armed intervention. 18 Green Bag 377.

CAN STOCK WITH EXCLUSIVE VOTING POWER BE TREATED AS A TRUST? Robert Rentoul Reed. 18 Green Bag 383.

CAN THE UNAUTHORIZED ACT OF AN AGENT BE RATIFIED BY THE PRINCIPAL AFTER THE THIRD PARTY HAS RECEDED FROM THE CONTRACT? Fox. Contending that such ratification should not be allowed. 62 Cent. L. J. 338.

CASE OF THE BRIG GENERAL ARMSTRONG, THE. Charles Noble Gregory. 18 Green

Bag 331.

CLOSED SHOP CONTROVERSY, THE. Charles R. Darling. 18 Green Bag 339. See 19 HARV. L. REV. 368.

COMPULSORY EXHIBITION BY PLAINTIFF IN PERSONAL INJURY SUITS. Thomas Hall Shastid. A review of the law in different states. 23 Medico-Leg. J. 629.

DIVORCE CONGRESS AND SUGGESTED IMPROVEMENTS IN THE STATUTORY LAW RELATING TO DIVORCE, THE. C. La Rue Munson. 15 Yale L. J. 405.

DOCTRINE OF THE FEDERAL COURTS AS TO THE VALIDITY OF IRREGULAR MUNI-CIPAL BONDS, THE. Charles L. Dibble. Discussing the effect of non-compliance with legal restrictions and the application of the doctrine of equitable estoppel. 4 Mich. L. Rev. 497.

EVIDENCE STATUTES THAT ILLINOIS OUGHT TO HAVE, SOME. John H. Wigmore. I Ill. L. Rev. 9.

EXCHANGE OF STOCK FOR CAPITALIZED PROFITS. H. S. Richards. 4 Mich. L. Rev.

GREAT USURPATION, THE. William Trickett. Attacking the authority of the courts to pass upon the constitutionality of legislation. 40 Am. L. Rev. 356.

INDIVIDUALISM OF THE CONSTITUTION, THE. Andrew Alexander Bruce. Discuss-

ing the holdings of the Supreme Court of the United States as to the constitutionality of local regulations of contracts. 62 Cent. L. J. 377.

Is One Claiming Title under a Quitclaim Deed a Bona Fide Purchaser? L. W. Carr. Arguing that he is. 4 Mich. L. Rev. 602.

JOINDER OF ACTIONS IN FIRE INSURANCE LITIGATION. Frederick T. Case. 18 Green Bag 392.

JURY TRIAL AND THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. William Cullen Dennis. Arguing

that the federal constitution does not require jury trials. 6 Columbia L. Rev. 423. LAW OF ENGLAND AS REGARDS MARRIED WOMEN'S CONTRACTS, AND THE POWER OF A WIFE TO BIND HER HUSBAND TO HER CONTRACTS, THE. I. G. Addison

Smith. Briefly stating the authorities. 14 Scots L. T. 35.

LEGAL CONCEPTIONS FROM A PRACTICAL POINT OF VIEW. James Edward Hogg. Showing the difficulty of reconciling legal conceptions with practical decisions. 22 L. Quar. Rev. 172.

Legal Personality of a Foreign Corporation, The. E. Hilton Young. 22 L. Quar. Rev. 178. See supra.

Legitimacy of "Business Methods" in the Law, The. Raymond D. Thurber.

A consideration of the restrictions placed upon lawyers in their efforts to secure cases by the statutes on champerty. 5 Bench & Bar 51.

LIMITATIONS UNDER WHICH A PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY MUST CONDUCT AN IN-

DEPENDENT BUSINESS. Bruce Wyman. 18 Green Bag 290.

MAN AND HIS NAME, A. Bernard C. Steiner. Collecting the authorities. 15 Yale L. J. 341.

MANX LAND TENURE. Reginald D. Farrant. 22 L. Quar. Rev. 136.

MARRIAGE IN OLD ROME. R. Vashon Rogers. 18 Green Bag 402.

MEDIEVAL INNKEEPER AND HIS RESPONSIBILITY, THE. Joseph H. Beale, Jr. 18 Green Bag 269.

MISCONDUCT OF THE BENCH AS REVERSIBLE ERROR. William A. Purrington. 5 Bench & Bar 10.

NATIONAL BANK AS STOCKHOLDER IN OTHER CORPORATIONS. Anon. 23 Banking L. J. 357.

OBSERVATIONS CONCERNING CAUTIONARY INSTRUCTIONS TO JURIES, SOME. Eugene McQuillin. Collecting the cases and summarizing the holdings upon this subject. 63 Cent. L. J. 5.

PART PAYMENT OF CLAIM AS ACCORD AND SATISFACTION. Raymond D. Thurber. 5 Bench & Bar 90.

PARTITION OF A VESSEL IN ADMIRALTY. James D. Dewell, Jr. 15 Yale L. J. 394. POINT IN THE INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES, A. H. B. Higgins. Stating the case where Act B defines the meaning of words in Act A, and is later repealed. 3 Commonwealth L. Rev. 155.

PRINCIPLES OF CHINESE LAW AND EQUITY, THE. Edward H. Parker. 22 L. Quar.

PROPOSED ACT RELATING TO INTERSTATE BILLS OF LADING, THE. Thomas B. Paton. An address before the New Jersey Bankers' Association, 1906. 23 Banking L. J. 401.

RECENT CHAPTER OF THE ENGLISH CONSTITUTION, A. D. H. Chamberlain. A discussion of Mr. Balfour's refusal to resign or to dissolve Parliament in July, 1905. 15 Yale L. J. 315. REFORM IN CRIMINAL LAW OF GERMANY. Ernest Bruncken. 68 Alb. L. J. 111.

RESULTING TRUSTS AND THE STATUTE OF FRAUDS. Harlan F. Stone. Contending that in the case of a conveyance on an oral trust for the grantor there should be a

resulting trust in his favor. 6 Columbia L. Rev. 326.
RIGHT OF JURY TRIAL IN THE DEPENDENCIES, THE. James Wilford Garner. Commenting on four recent United States Supreme Court decisions. 40 Am. L. Rev. 340.

RIGHTS OF CREDITORS OF A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION WHEN THE STATE HAS PASSED A LAW TO ABOLISH OR ALTER IT, THE. Richard W. Flournoy. 12 .Va. L. Reg. 175. See supra.

RIGHTS AND LIABILITIES OF FOREIGN INSURANCE COMPANIES IN CANADA. E. Lafteur. 5 Can. L. Rev. 249. Soul of the Profession, The.

Charles F. Chamberlayne. Advocating the teaching of legal ethics in law schools. 18 Green Bag 306.

SPECIAL LEGISLATION AS DEFINED IN THE ILLINOIS CASES. Albert M. Kales. 1 Ill. L. Rev. 63.

STATE REGULATION OF RAILROAD RATES AND CHARGES. Andrew Alexander Bruce. A discussion of the underlying theory and its practical application. 62 Cent. L. J. 458.

STATUTORY REGULATION OF EMPLOYER AND EMPLOYEE. O. H. Myrick. 63 Cent.

L. J. 43.
TOBACCO TRUST DECISIONS, THE. Henry W. Taft. Upholding the recent decisions that a corporation could not refuse to produce its books at the instance of the state. 6 Columbia L. Rev. 375.

TWO RECENT CASES ON INTERSTATE MARITAL RELATIONS. H. A. Bigelow. Comparing Haddock v. Haddock with Atherton v. Atherton. 18 Green Bag 348.

VALIDITY OF CONTRACTS BETWEEN CORPORATIONS HAVING COMMON DIRECTORS, THE. Harold M. Bowman. 4 Mich. L. Rev. 577.

VALIDITY OF MUNICIPAL ORDINANCES REGULATING THE INSPECTION AND SALE OF MILK AND CREAM. Eugene McQuillin. Collecting the authorities. 62 Cent. L. J. 439.

VALIDITY OF STATE STATUTES PROHIBITING CONTRACTS OF EXEMPTION BY CAR-RIERS CONCERNING INTERSTATE COMMERCE, THE. J. R. Tucker. An argument in favor of their constitutionality. 12 Va. L. Reg. 1.